UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,602	10/550,602 11/08/2005 Kiyoshi Midorikawa		330-305	4259
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 11/10/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	TOUSSAINT, DALILA		
ARLINGTON,	VA 22205		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		4152		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary    Examiner	Office Action Summary		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
DALILA TOUSSAINT  The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.73(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If INO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			10/550,6	02	MIDORIKAWA ET AL.				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			Examine	r	Art Unit				
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any eamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			DALILA T	OUSSAINT	4152				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			nication appears on th	e cover sheet with the o	correspondence ad	ddress			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum start to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months	MAILING DATE OF TI s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ex munication. tatutory period will apply and v y will, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION vent, however, may a reply be tir vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b> 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Status								
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b> 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on .						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	· —	•		non-final.					
Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	3)	Since this application is in condition	for allowance excep	t for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the	e merits is			
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> </ul>	·	·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Dispositi	on of Claims							
5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	4)🛛	4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.		·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	5)	_							
•	6)⊠								
	7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	8)	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election i	equirement.					
Application Papers	Applicati	on Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	9)□	The specification is objected to by th	ne Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.	-	-		) objected to by the □	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		<del>_</del> ·	•						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment(s)	Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)				4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application			PTO-948)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/26/05, 11/8/05, 10/31/06.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:				· <b>—</b>	αιστιι ΑμμιισαίΙΟΠ				

Art Unit: 4152

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 and 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hiroyoshi et al. JP publication 2000-201634 A and further evidenced by Ribeiro US publication 2004/0126437 A1.
  - a. Referring to claim 1, Hiroyoshi et al. teaches:
    - (1) An active oxygen eliminator derived from a natural substance which comprises water, an organic solvent and an emulsifier ( Detailed Discription (DD) page 1, ¶ 0007).

However, Hiroyoshi et al. is silent to the essential active components: p-coumarinic acid, 3,4-di-O-caffeoylquinic acid, 3,5-di-O-caffeoylquinic acid, 4-hydroxy-3-prenylcinnamic acid and 3,5,7-trihydroxy-4'-methoxyflavonol. These essential active components listed are natural occurring substances of Brazilian propolis, which is further evidenced by Ribeiro, which discloses the essential active components of Brazilian propolis (Ribeiro; Table XVIII). Hiroyoshi et al. disclose the use of Brazilian and other propolis in it production (Hiroyoshi et al.; abstract and DD page

Art Unit: 4152

1, ¶ 0010), thus establish a *prima facie* case of anticipation. Hiroyoshi et al. uses like materials in a like manner as claimed, it would therefore be expected that the natural active components listed in the instant claim will have the same characteristics.

- b. Referring to claim 3, Hiroyoshi et al. and Ribeiro teaches:
  - (2) The active oxygen eliminator derived from a natural substance as claimed in claim 1, wherein the organic solvent is a polyhydric alcohol compound having 2 or more hydroxyl groups in a molecule (Hiroyoshi et al.; DD page 1, ¶ 0007).
- c. Referring to claim 4, Hiroyoshi et al. and Ribeiro teaches:
  - (3) The active oxygen eliminator derived from a natural substance as claimed in claim 1, wherein the emulsifier is at least one member selected from a glycerin fatty acid ester, a polyglycerin fatty acid ester, a propylene glycol fatty acid ester, a sorbitan fatty acid ester, a sucrose fatty acid ester, lecitins and saponins (Hiroyoshi et al.; DD page 2, ¶ 0011).
- d. Referring to claim 5, Hiroyoshi et al. and Ribeiro teaches:
  - (4) The food comprising the active oxygen eliminator derived from a natural substance recited in claim 1 (Hiroyoshi et al.; Abstract).
- 3. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hiroyoshi et al. JP publication 2000-201634 A, further evidenced by Ribeiro US publication

Application/Control Number: 10/550,602

Art Unit: 4152

**2004/0126437 A1**, and further evidenced by Shigemi Tazawa, "Analysis of the consitients, chemical evaluation and tyrosinase inhibition of propolis" and Matsuhige K. "Potent free radical scavenging activity of dicaffeoyl quinic acid derivatives from propolis".

- e. Referring to claim 2, Hiroyoshi et al. is silent to disclose:
  - (5) The active oxygen eliminator derived from a natural substance as claimed in claim 1, which further comprises, as active components, 3,5-diprenyl-4-hydroxycinnamic acid, keampheride, beturetol, chlorogenic acid, ermanin, caffeic acid, chrysin and vanillin.

Page 4

Hiroyoshi et al. is silent to the natural active components listed above in the instant claim. However, Hiroyoshi et al discloses the use of Brazilian and other propolis in it production (Hiroyoshi et al.; abstract and DD page 1, ¶ 0010). Ribeiro discloses many of the essential active components naturally stemming from Brazilian propolis (Ribeiro; Table XVIII) except for chlorogenic acid and chrysin.

However, the article by Matsuhige disclose that chlorogenic acid is a free radical structure found in propolis from another region (Matsuhige K.; abstract) and the article by Tazawa disclose that chrysin is found in propolis (Tazawa; abstract), thus establishing a *prima facie* case of anticipation. Hiroyoshi et al. uses like materials in a like manner as

Art Unit: 4152

claimed, it would therefore be expected that the natural active components listed in the instant claim will have the same characteristics.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Hiroyoshi et al. JP publication 2000-201634 A, further in view of Ribeiro US publication 2004/0126437 A1.
  - f. Referring to claim 6, Hiroyoshi et al. fail to disclose:
    - (6) A cosmetic comprising an active oxygen eliminator derived from a natural substance recited in claim 1.

However, Ribeiro disclose the use of propolis in cosmetics (Ribeiro; page 4, ¶ 0028). At the time of invention it would have been obvious to

Art Unit: 4152

one skilled in the art, having teaching of Hiroyoshi et al. and Ribeiro before him or her, to modify Hiroyoshi et al. to include Brazilian propolis in cosmetics as Ribeiro discloses it uses. The motivation for doing so would have been to have propolis based products and some derivative compounds having therapeutical and biological activities (Ribeiro; page 4, ¶ 0028).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DALILA TOUSSAINT whose telephone number is (571)270-7088. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Del Sole can be reached on (571)272-1130. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 4152

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DT

/Joseph S. Del Sole/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 4152